

## AMENDMENT TRANSMITTAL LETTER

Docket No.  
HO-P02917US9

Application No.  
10/084,579

Filing Date  
February 26, 2002

Examiner  
C. D. Toomer

Art Unit  
1714

Applicant(s): Frederick L. Jordan

Invention: METHOD AND COMPOSITION FOR USING ORGANIC, PLANT-DERIVED, OIL-EXTRACTED MATERIALS IN RESID FUEL ADDITIVES FOR REDUCED EMISSIONS

### TO THE COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

Transmitted herewith is an amendment in the above-identified application.

The fee has been calculated and is transmitted as shown below.

CLAIMS AS AMENDED					
	Claims Remaining After Amendment	Highest Number Previously Paid	Number Extra Claims Present	Rate	
Total Claims	3	- 110 =		x	
Independent Claims	9	- 11 =		x	
Multiple Dependent Claims (check if applicable) <input type="checkbox"/>					
Other fee (please specify): Extension for response within first month					60.00
TOTAL ADDITIONAL FEE FOR THIS AMENDMENT:					60.00

☐ Large Entity

☒ Small Entity

☐ No additional fee is required for this amendment.

☒ Please charge Deposit Account No. 06-2375 in the amount of \$ 60.00.  
A duplicate copy of this sheet is enclosed.


☐ A check in the amount of \$ \_\_\_\_\_ to cover the filing fee is enclosed.

☐ Payment by credit card. Form PTO-2038 is attached.

☒ The Director is hereby authorized to charge and credit Deposit Account No. 06-2375 as described below. A duplicate copy of this sheet is enclosed.

☒ Credit any overpayment.

☒ Charge any additional filing or application processing fees required under 37 CFR 1.16 and 1.17.

  
John E. Schneider  
Attorney Reg. No.: 31,998

Dated: February 11, 2005

FULBRIGHT & JAWORSKI L.L.P.  
1301 McKinney, Suite 5100  
Houston, Texas 77010-3095  
(713) 651-5462


#### Amendment Transmittal

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the U.S. Postal Service as Express Mail, Airbill No. ER264814860US, in an envelope addressed to: MS Amendment, Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450, on the date shown below.

Dated: February 11, 2005

Signature: 

(Ronnie Webb)



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/084,579	02/26/2002	Frederick L. Jordan	HO-P02917US9	6019


26271 7590 11/04/2004  
FULBRIGHT & JAWORSKI, LLP  
1301 MCKINNEY  
SUITE 5100  
HOUSTON, TX 77010-3095

RECEIVED
NOV 08 2004
Docket: P02917US9
Client: Oryte
Attorney: SES

EXAMINER	
TOOMER, CEPHIA D	
ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
1714	

DATE MAILED: 11/04/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



## Office Action Summary

Application No.

10/084,579

Applicant(s)

JORDAN, FREDERICK L.

Examiner

Cephia D. Toomer

Art Unit

1714

**Period for Reply**  
- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address -

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

### Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12 August 2004.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

### Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 37-62 and 64-89 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☒ Claim(s) 55-62 and 64-89 is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 37-40, 45-48, 51 and 52 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☒ Claim(s) 41-44, 49, 50, 53 and 54 is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

### Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on \_\_\_\_\_ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

### Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some \* c) ☐ None of:
- ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
  - ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.
  - ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

\* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

### Attachment(s)

- |   |   |
|---|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)             | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)                     |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)    | Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____  |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____   | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____                                    |

Art Unit: 1714



## DETAILED ACTION

### *Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114*

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on August 12, 2004 has been entered.
2. This Office action is in response to the amendment filed August 12, 2004 in which claims 44, 46, 50, 61, 64, 71, 74, 78, 82 and 88 were amended and claims 63 and 90 were canceled.
3. The Double Patenting rejection is withdrawn in view of Applicant filing a Terminal Disclaimer.
4. The rejections of the claims under 35 USC 112, first and second paragraphs are withdrawn in view of the amendment to the claims and Applicant's arguments.
5. The rejection of the claims under 35 USC 102(b) is withdrawn in view of the amendment to the claims.

### *Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103*

6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the

Art Unit: 1714

invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

7. Claims 37-40, 45-48 and 51-52 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kirk (US 5,023,095).

Kirk teaches a composition comprising beta-carotene, at least one edible oil and dl-alpha-tocopherol (see abstract; col. 2, lines 17-24). The edible oil may be selected from coconut, palm, olive, peanut (a member of the *Leguminosae* family), and corn (grain). Kirk teaches that the oils may be used in combination (see col. 3, lines 22-28). Kirk also teaches that dl-alpha-tocopherol is an antioxidant (see col. 3, lines 47-50). Kirk teaches the limitations of the claims other than the differences that are discussed below.

In the first aspect, Kirk differs from the claims in that she does not specifically teach applicant's intended use. However, intended use is given no patentable weight in claims that are directed to the composition per se.

In the second aspect, Kirk differs from the claims in that she does not teach that the edible oils function as thermal stabilizers. However, given that Kirk teaches some of the same oils as applicant in combination with beta-carotene, it would be reasonable to expect that the oils would function in this capacity. Furthermore, a compound and its properties are inseparable. In re Papesch, 137 USPQ 43 (CCPA 1963).

8. Claims 41-44, 49, 50, 53 and 54 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.


Art Unit: 1714

9. Claims 55-62 and 64-89 are allowed. The prior art fails to teach or suggest the claimed fuel additive and fuel composition containing said additive.


Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Cephia D. Toomer whose telephone number is 571-272-1126. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Vasu Jagannathan can be reached on 571-272-1119. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

  
Cephia D. Toomer  
Primary Examiner  
Art Unit 1714

10084579\103004

	Application/Control No. 10/084,579	Applicant(s)/Patent Under Reexamination JORDAN, FREDERICK L.	
	Examiner Cephia D. Toomer	Art Unit 1714	Page 1 of 1

### U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

		Document Number Country Code-Number-Kind Code	Date MM-YYYY	Name	Classification
	A	US-5,023,095	06-1991	Kirk, Paula S.	426/250
	B	US-			
	C	US-			
	D	US-			
	E	US-			
	F	US-			
	G	US-			
	H	US-			
	I	US-			
	J	US-			
	K	US-			
	L	US-			
	M	US-			

### FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS

*		Document Number Country Code-Number-Kind Code	Date MM-YYYY	Country	Name	Classification
	N					
	O					
	P					
	Q					
	R					
	S					
	T					

### NON-PATENT DOCUMENTS

*		Include as applicable: Author, Title Date, Publisher, Edition or Volume, Pertinent Pages)
	U	
	V	
	W	
	X	

\*A copy of this reference is not being furnished with this Office action. (See MPEP § 707.05(a).)  
Dates in MM-YYYY format are publication dates. Classifications may be US or foreign.